

United States Patent and Trademark Office



m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/717,841	11/21/2000	Arvin D. Danielson	36767YBB	6790
7:	590 11/27/2001			
John H Sherman Legal Department Intermec Technologies Corporation 550 2nd Street SE			EXAMINER	
			PITTS, HAROLD I	
Cedar Rapids, IA 52401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s) -
09/717849	MANICION Rtou
Examiner /	Group Art Unit
	28 (6)

		2876
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet beneath the	correspondence address
Period for Reply	_	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXOFTHIS COMMUNICATION.	KPIRE 3 MONTH	I(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply well to the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expirations or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, communications. 	vithin the statutory minimum of thirty (3 re SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing	30) days will be considered timely. date of this communication .
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.		to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) (— ()	is/a	re pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/a	re allowed.
\checkmark Claim(s) $1 - 10$	is/a	re rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		
		uirement.
Application Papers		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	·	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected		vea.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
	.05.11.0.0.0.44.0(-).(-)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 		
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ □ received in this national stage application from the Internal 		
*Certified copies not received:		·
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	□ Interview Sι	ummary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other	
Office Ac	tion Summary	,

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.___

Art Unit: 2876

Rejections will be based on the following criteria the criteria for applicant and/or counsel is ordinary skill in the art, i.e., a knowledge of all prior art including the ability to read, comprehend and to point out the claimed invention compared to the prior art concepts. The applicant is considered to have the pertinent prior art before him during conception and reduction to practice of the invention in light of this prior art including drafting the specification and claims. The applicant is considered to be aware that to merely substitute or additionally employ one or more teachings of one or more references before him in a combinational sense would clearly be within the purview of obviousness, the motivation being the skilled artisan's recognition of the interchangeable teachings of similar systems and the expedient of the substitutive or an additive employment of one or more prior art system concepts to provide a particular solution or to bring about a desired result.

35 U.S.C. 112 rejections:

- a. The disclosure, like the claims must point out the invention. A disclosure in which the lexicography is unclear. Vague, convoluted or incomplete does not comply with the statute.
- b. A disclosure which merely discusses prior art concepts without really setting a forth on independently arrived at enabling disclosure does not comply.
- c. Claims based on disclosure as above or are vague, incomplete or merely expressions or desired results do not comply with the statute.
- 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections and motivation.

Application/Control Number: 09/717,841

Art Unit: 2876

The criteria here is a <u>skilled artisan</u> who is looking first to the prior art for aid in the conception and reduction to practice phase of inventing and who is technologically skilled in the research of patent and other documentation and in the employment of prior art concepts in substitutive and additive combinations to address and implement a system, having collected and subjected the pertinent prior art (such as cited here in) and viewing the prior art technique of employing the desired inventive concepts in or more combinations to provide successfully similar solution and which considered in combination address applicant's essential inventive concept, would find in such an addressing the "suggestion" or "suggestions" or "motivation" that the prior art concepts might be successfully employed in combination as set forth in applicant's claims.

35 U.S.C. 102 rejections;

A rejection 35 U.S.C. 102 indicates the claims, drafted in light of one or more references, fail to point and distinctly claim any discernible novel essential inventive concept.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2876

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Set forth structural relationships in the drawing. Identify by reference numeral the components that are in the "base" and those that drawings should be submitted for each of the sets or claims 1-8, claim 9 and claim 10 with the structural detail of Figure 2 of Swantz 4,806,742 of record.

What is the "controlling means" on the indicia reader. Where is the "processing means, the applicant is invited to call the examiner recording the above, cancel attorney's docket numbers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Harold Pitts at telephone number (703) 308-0717.

Pitts/nt

11/14/01

Harok Pitts Primary Examine